| 1                                       |   |                                  |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| 2                                       |   |                                  |
| 3                                       |   |                                  |
| 4                                       |   |                                  |
| 5                                       |   |                                  |
| 6                                       |   |                                  |
| <ul><li>7</li><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul> | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON   |                                  |
| 10                                      | MAJID ALFARAG,  |                                  |
| 11                                      | Plaintiff,  | CASE NO. 3:23-CV-5305-DGE        |
| 12                                      | v.  | ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME |
| 13                                      | UNITED STATES POSTAL<br>SERVICE,  |                                  |
| 14                                      | Defendant.  |                                  |
| 15<br>16                                | The District Court has referred Plaintiff Maiid Alforage's nanding Application to Proceed               |                                  |
| 17                                      | LE D : (GED) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 D : 1 W  |                                  |
| 18                                      |   |                                  |
| 19                                      | civil complaint and an application to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP"), that is, without paying        |                                  |
| 20                                      | the filing fee for a civil case. See Dkts. 1; 1-1.  |                                  |
| 21                                      | On April 25, 2023, the Court screened Plaintiff's proposed complaint. Dkt. 3. The Court                 |                                  |
| 22                                      | determined the proposed complaint failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted and        |                                  |
| 23                                      | directed Plaintiff to file a proposed amended complaint on or before May 25, 2023. <i>Id.</i> The Court |                                  |
| 24                                      | also re-noted Plaintiff's application to proceed I  | FP to May 25, 2023. <i>Id</i> .  |

| 1  | On May 8, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Motion requesting an extension of time, until July 1,                |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | 2023, respond to the Court's Order. Dkt. 4. Plaintiff states he needs additional time to because he    |  |
| 3  | has medical problems and is attempting to hire an attorney. <i>Id</i> . After considering the relevant |  |
| 4  | record, the Court grants Plaintiff's Motion (Dkt. 4) as follows: Plaintiff shall have until July 3,    |  |
| 5  | 2023, to respond to the Court's order and to file a proposed amended complaint. If Plaintiff fails     |  |
| 6  | to file a proposed amended complaint or otherwise respond to the Order by July 3, 2023, the            |  |
| 7  | Court will recommend the application to proceed IFP be denied and this case be closed.                 |  |
| 8  | The Clerk is directed to re-note Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis (Dkt.            |  |
| 9  | 1) is to <b>July 3, 2023.</b>  |  |
| 10 | Dated this 10th day of May, 2023.  |  |
| 11 | MoMunto  |  |
| 12 | David W. Christel  |  |
| 13 | Chief United States Magistrate Judge   |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |